IICAPS Presentation to the Behavioral Health Partnership Oversight Council

January 16, 2008

IICAPS Partnership

IICAPS Providers: 14 sites, statewide

> Families and Children

State agencies: -DCF -DSS -CSSD

IICAPS Services: -training -credentialing -quality assurance

IICAPS

Intensive, home-based service

Treatment model for SED youth at risk for:

- Requiring institutional based care
- Being unable to be discharged from institutional based care
- Having basic developmental accomplishments compromised because of lack of response to clinic-based services

Delivered by two person clinical team

- Team supervised by a senior mental health professional
- Team rounds co-led by child and adolescent psychiatrist

→ Voluntary

- → Collaborative
- Structured by manual

Logic Model to Guide IICAPS Intervention



IICAPS Interventions



IICAPS Outcomes Measures



IICAPS Network: Outcomes

Cases Closed between July 1, 2006 & September 30, 2007 (FY 2006/2007 & 1st Quarter FY 2007/2008)



Planned (n=382, 73.2%) vs. Premature Discharges (n=140, 26.8%)

Problem Severity: Paired T-test Results of Ohio Scores Measured at IICAPS Intake and Discharge (Planned Discharges)



Proportional Decrease, Parent Report: Proportional Decrease, Youth Report: Proportional Decrease, Worker Report: 13.1% (p<.0001) 8.9% (p<.0001) 11.5% (p<.0001)

Child Functioning: Paired T-test Results of Ohio Scores Measured at IICAPS Intake and Discharge (Planned Discharges)



Proportional Increase, Parent Report: Proportional Increase, Youth Report: Proportional Increase, Worker Report: 9.7% (p<.0001) 8.5% (p<.0001) 12.3% (p<.0001)

Main Problem Ratings & Scores

Defining Main Problem: co-construction of description of behavior that puts child at risk for requiring institutional treatment

Rating Main Problem: 10 point scale with behavioral anchor points ranging from:

1 - Imminent risk of injury to self or others/gravely disturbed

to:

10 - No disturbance

Main Problem Rating: Paired T-test Results Measured at IICAPS Intake and Discharge



Mean Difference, Planned Discharges: Mean Difference, Premature Discharges: 3.2 pts. (p<.0001) 1.3 pts. (p<.0001)

Service Utilization Data

- Service Utilization Questionnaire (SUQ): created by the IICAPS developers
- Parent report
- Administered at Intake to collect data on service utilization during the 6 months prior to IICAPS Intake
- Administered at Discharge to collect data on service utilization during the period of the IICAPS Intervention (time variable)

Service Utilization Data: Number of Patients with a Treatment Event



Proportional Decrease, Patients w/Psych Inpt Admission: 43.4% Proportional Decrease, Patients w/ED Visit: 30.4%

Service Utilization Data: Total Days of Psychiatric Inpatient Stay



Proportional Decrease, Days in Psych Inpt Stay: 51.1%

Satisfaction: Paired T-test Results of Ohio Scores Measured at IICAPS Intake and Discharge (Planned Discharges)

➢Per Parent Report, satisfaction with the IICAPS intervention represented a <u>17% increase</u> over satisfaction with previous mental health services (p<.0001)</p>

➢Per Youth Report, satisfaction with the IICAPS intervention represented a <u>11.5% increase</u> over satisfaction with previous mental health services (p<.0001)</p>

Outcomes by Quarter

Problem Severity Ohio Scales:

Parent Report: 12.1 – 13.4 point decreases (12.1% – 13.4% decrease) Worker Report: 9.1 - 13.4 point decreases (9.1% – 13.4% decrease) Youth Report: 5.7 - 14.4 point decreases (5.7% – 14.4% decrease)

Functioning Ohio Scales:

Parent Report: 8.2 - 10.5 point increases (10.3% - 13.1% increase)Worker Report: 7.8 - 11.8 point increases (9.8% - 14.8% increase)Youth Report: 4.3 - 12.2 point increases (5.4% - 15.3% increase)

Main Problem Severity: 2.9 - 3.4 point decreases (planned discharges)

Service Utilization:

Psychiatric Inpatient Admissions: 32.4% - 50% decreases Psychiatric Inpatient Days: 33.2% - 74% decreases ED Visits: 15.8% - 52.5% decreases

Proportional Decreases in Problem Severity Ohio Scores, by Quarter



Proportional Decreases in Functioning Ohio Scores, by Quarter



Main Problem Scores, by Quarter



Service Utilization Data, by Quarter: Proportional Change in Number of Patients with a Treatment Event



Service Utilization Data, by Quarter: Proportional Change in Total Number of Psychiatric Inpatient Days



IICAPS Network: Referrals

Referrals made between July 1, 2006 & September 30, 2007 (FY 2006/2007 & 1st Quarter FY 2007/2008)



Among those referrals, 622 have become cases (50 on waitlist, 200 not opened)

Referrals and Opened Cases, by Gender



Children Referred

Children with Case Opened

Referrals and Opened Cases, by Race/Ethnicity



Referrals and Opened Cases, by Age



Referrals, by County



Referrals, by Type of Town*



*Towns categorized by the Connecticut State Data Center (CtSDC) (missing = 43 towns (4.9%) without official categorization; most are likely "Rural")



Effects of IICAPS Expansion

	New Intakes	Children Served
1 st Quarter:	115	300
2 nd Quarter :	119	317
3 rd Quarter :	109	343
4 th Quarter :	132	375
5 th Quarter :	186	442

IICAPS Summary

Statistically significant improvements in: Symptom severity → Functioning Main problem severity Decreases in: Psychiatric hospitalization admissions and days → ED visits for psychiatric reasons Increased satisfaction with IICAPS intervention over previous mental health services